

Editorial considerations for reviews that compare multiple interventions

Said Business School, Oxford, UK March 22, 2013



Handout E8-L

Moving Forward – CMIMG Recommendations

Tianjing Li

1. Define Overviews as reviews of reviews.

- Return to the guidance outlined in the Handbook
- Overviews should search for reviews
- Overviews provide synthesis at the review level

- 2. Cochrane authors who wish to include indirect comparisons or network meta-analysis in their reviews should examine individual trial reports and use individual trial data in their analyses.
 - Transitivity and other assumptions are difficult to assess without going into individual trial

- 3. The Overview format should only be used to do indirect comparisons when the Overview authors have evaluated the assumption of transitivity for all of the trials included in those comparisons.
 - Same author team
 - Included reviews are consistently done (e.g., same eligibility criteria, same outcomes)
 - Rare in practice

- 4. Overviews that facilitate "informal" indirect comparison by readers must address transitivity issues.
 - Overview authors should be careful when data from different systematic reviews are pulled together in a single table or figure

- 5. The Collaboration should re-examine the issue of "overlapping" reviews, and find an approach that allows both reviews that include a NMA and reviews that examine a more restricted subset of the available interventions to be published in The Cochrane Library.
 - Define a new review type?

- 6. The Collaboration should develop mechanisms to facilitate the production of Reviews that include NMAs.
 - Make use of data already extracted from one Cochrane review for use in another
 - Data linking



Editorial considerations for reviews that compare multiple interventions

Said Business School, Oxford, UK March 22, 2013