

Semi-automation in evidence production

Introducing Screen4Me

David Moher Cochrane Methods Symposium: Bias and Beyond Saturday 15 September 2018

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.





Semi-automation



First coined in manufacturing with the development of robotics in assembly lines.



Semi-automation



We are also using semiautomation in our review production line



Challenges



In Cochrane we are under pressure to produce high quality evidence quickly



Challenges



Information overload Global scientific output doubling every 9 years. 4000+ articles published every day



Challenges



Processes not working: too siloed leading to inefficiency and duplication of effort



New approaches



Crowdsourcing

Machine learning

New approaches like crowdsourcing and machine learning could help us make better use of data (metadata) and better use of people



Crowdsourcing



"Crowdsourcing is the process of obtaining **Needed** services, ideas, or **CONTENT** by soliciting contributions from a **large group of people**, especially an **Online community**, rather than from employees or suppliers."



Crowdsourcing



There are **different types of crowdsourcing** and various names have been given to the different types.











Crowd Funding



Crowd Voting



You can make a difference!

Become a Cochrane citizen scientist. Anyone can join our collaborative volunteer effort to help categorise and summarise healthcare evidence so that we can make better healthcare decisions.

Give it a try

Cochrane Crowd: crowd,cochrane.org Classification tasks about identifying and describing health research





It breaks tasks down to a micro form



Microwave ablation: an effective treatment for mild-to-moderate secondary hyperparathyroidism in patients undergoing haemodialysis [617697593]

Background: Microwave ablation (MWA) is an effective treatment for severe secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT), but it can also be used for mild-to-moderate secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT). In this randomised, controlled study, the efficacy of MWA in the treatment of mild-to-moderate hyperparathyroidism is investigated. Materials and methods: We assessed outcomes 12months after the randomisation of 28 patients with mild-to-moderate SHPT. The subjects received either MWA plus calcitriol or calcitriol alone. The primary end-points were the rate of achieving target levels of intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH), changes in iPTH levels and the rate of patients developing severe SHPT. Results: Primary end points: the overall rates of achieving target levels of iPTH were comparable between the MWA and calcitriol alone groups (24% vs. 22%, p=0.85). However, the rate of iPTH <150pg/mL (lower limit of the target range) in the MWA group was higher than that in the calcitriol alone group (23% vs. 8%, p=0.02). The mean iPTH level in the MWA group after MWA was lower than that in the calcitriol alone group (373.09+/-322.31 vs. 552.28+/-361.87pg/mL, p<0.001). There was a significant difference in the change in iPTH levels over time within the MWA group (p<0.001) but not in the calcitriol alone group. Only one patient developed severe SHPT in the MWA group, while six patients in the calcitriol alone group developed severe SHPT (p=0.04). Conclusions: Compared with calcitriol alone, MWA plus calcitriol decreases iPTH levels and prevents the progression of mild-to-moderate SHPT. Copyright © 2017 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

x = ‡		\bigcirc
	RCT/CCT	
	Reject	
	Unsure	
Help me deci	de	
Add a note		

Breaking a task down to one single question: is it an RCT?



Aa

Brief, interactive training

Agreement algorithm



Individual accuracy is achieved through training Collective accuracy is achieved through the agreement algorithm



Agreement algorithm





6 mainstream micro-tasks



10,000+ crowd



150+ countries





Cochrane Crowd: RCTs



42,706 records have been identified as RCTs by the crowd

The Crowd have identified 42,706 RCTs for CENTRAL



Cochrane Crowd: Rejects



464,294 records have been identified as NOT describing RCTs by the crowd

The Crowd have identified 464,294 REJECTs





With the Crowd dataset we were able to train the machine



Machine learning



Machine learning gives "computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed". In the context of Cochrane, this is about building classifiers that provide likelihood scores



Machine learning



The RCT classifier built, calibrated and validated



Perfect partnership



The classifier is been used in CSS processes.

Every month, the classifier reduces the amount of records that need to go to Cochrane Crowd by around 75%

Crowd and machine working together

Mæhme-Crowd in centralised searches



Crowd-machine system as part of the centralised search service has enabled significant scale up



Introducing Screen4Me

Known assessments RCT classifier

Cochrane Crowd

Brining three components together and enabling review teams to access them for their specific reviews



Known Assessments

Vitar	nin D a	and the development and evolution of permanent black holes among
patie	Mitor	ith clinically isolated syndrome [730E9E10]
Obior	natio	nin D and the development and evolution of permanent black notes among
dama	parte	Vitamin D and the development and evolution of permanent black holes among
PRHs	Objec	patients with clinically isolated syndrome. [72058510]
BENE	dama	
treatr	PBHs	Objective: To assess the relationship between vitamin D [25(OH) D] and irreversible brain tissue
meas	BENE	damage characterized by the occurrence of persistent T1- hypointensities (permanent black holes-
meas	treatr	PBHs) in patients with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) who were followed for 5 years. Methods:
and e	meas	BENEFIT was a randomized trial comparing early versus delayed interferon beta-1b (IFNB-1b)
numb	meas	treatment in patients with a first event suggestive of MS (CIS). Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were
logist	and e	measured at baseline, 6, 12, and 24 months. 433 of the 468 patients had at least one 25(OH)D
intrap	numb	measurement and had lesion follow-up for at least 1 year. We calculated a seasonadjusted 25(OH)D and the
	logist	and estimated the association between the time-dependent complative average of 25(OF)D and the
	intrap	legistic regression models to access the propertien of losions evolving into DPUs accounting for
		intranatient correlation using generalized estimating equations. We also assessed the association

To date, over 500,000 bibliographic records have been through Cochrane Crowd



Known Assessments



42,000 RCTs

465,000 Rejects

We reject the same records time and again In S4M we will make use of the metadata we already have



Known Assessments



In a month's worth of updated reviews, the results identified in Embase searches for those updates had already been screened by the Crowd: 62%-98%



Screen4Me: how will it work?



These processes done just as they usually are

Screen4Me is operated from within the CRS web.



Screen4Me: workflow





Screen4Me: workflow cont.





Screen4Me: workflow cont.





Screen4Me



Estimated reduction in records for author teams to have to screen: 50-85%



In summary

- We have successfully incorporated semi-automation in the form of Crowd and machine into CSS processes
- This has enriched our central repositories
- Screen4Me is a new workflow available to review teams via CRS web



Want more detail?

Workshop: Screen4Me Monday 17th 11.00, Lammermuir 1 Presentation: Cochrane Crowd Sunday 16th 16.20, Carrick 1

Crowd

S4M

Workshop: Cochrane Crowd Tuesday 18th 11.00, Harris 2





Log-in to Cochrane Crowd Crowd.cochrane.org anytime during the Colloquium to join the challenge!



Thank you

Anna Noel-Storr anna.noel-storr@rdm.ox.ac.uk

With huge acknowledgements to the Project Transform team and to the Crowd

Support for Project Transform was provided by Cochrane and the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (APP1114605). The contents of the published material are solely the responsibility of the Administering Institution, a Participating Institution or individual authors and do not reflect the views of the NHMRC.