

 Cochrane Methods Adverse Effects	- Golder S, Wright K, Loke YK. The feasibility of a search filter for the adverse effects of nondrug interventions in MEDLINE and Embase. <i>Res Synth Methods</i> 2017;8(4):506-513. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1267 - Golder S, Wright K, Loke YK. The development of search filters for adverse effects of surgical interventions in MEDLINE and Embase. <i>Health Info Libr J</i> 2018 Mar 31. doi: 10.1111/hir.12213 - Cândido RCF, Golder S, Menezes de Padua CA, Perini E, Junqueira DR. Immediate-release methylphenidate for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in adults. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2018, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD013011. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD013011. - Hum SW, Golder S, Shaikh N. Inadequate harms reporting in randomized control trials of antibiotics for pediatric acute otitis media: a systematic review. <i>Drug Safety</i> 2018 May 8. doi: 10.1007/s40264-018-0680-0. [Epub ahead of print]. - Gu WJ, Hou BL, Kwong JSW, Tian X, Qian Y, Cui Y, et al. Association between intraoperative hypotension and 30-day mortality, major adverse cardiac events, and acute kidney injury after non-cardiac surgery: A meta-analysis of cohort studies. <i>Int J Cardiol</i> 2018;258:68-73. - Kahale LA, Diab B, Brignardello-Petersen R, Agarwal A, Mustafa RA, Kwong J, et al. Systematic reviews do not adequately report, or address missing outcome data in their analyses: a methodological survey. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018 Mar 2. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.02.016. [Epub ahead of print] - Kwong JSW, Li Y, Zhang M. Cochrane in 2017: Opportunities for the research community in China. <i>J Evid Based Med</i> 2018;11:7-11. - Pohlman K, Carroll L, Tsuyuki R, Hartling L, Vohra S. Active versus passive adverse event reporting after pediatric chiropractic manual therapy: study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> 2017. In press. - Zorzela L, Mior S, Boon H, Gross A, Yager J, Carter R, Vohra S. Tool to assess causality of direct and indirect adverse events associated with therapeutic interventions. <i>Current Medical Research & Opinion</i> 2018 Feb; 34(3):407-414. - Brandao GMS, Junqueira DR, Rollo HA, Sobreira ML. Pentasaccharides for the treatment of deep vein thrombosis. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD011782. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011782.pub2 - Junqueira DR Zorzela LM, Perini E. Unfractionated heparin versus low molecular weight heparins for avoiding heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in postoperative patients. (2017) <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i>, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD007557. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007557.pub3.
 Cochrane Methods Priority Setting	- Crowe, S. Who Inspired My Thinking? – Sherry Arnstein. <i>Research for All</i> 2017;1(1):143-146. DOI 10.18546/RFA.01.1.10. Haddaway N, Crowe S. Experiences and lessons in stakeholder engagement in environmental evidence synthesis: a truly special series. <i>Environ Evid</i> 2018;7:11 - Rosala-Hallas A, Bhangu A, Blazeby J, Bowman L, Clarke M, Lang T, et al. Global health trials methodological research agenda: results from a priority setting exercise. <i>Trials</i> 2018 Feb 5;19(1):48. doi: 10.1186/s13063-018-2440-y. PubMed PMID: 29397795; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5798175. - Sharma T, Choudhury M, Rejón-Parrilla JC, Jonsson P, Garner S. Using HTA and guideline development as a tool for research priority setting the NICE way: reducing research waste by identifying the right research to fund. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2018 Mar 8;8(3):e019777. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019777. PubMed PMID: 29523564; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5855177.

	• Tong A, Crowe S, Gill JS, Harris T, Hemmelgarn BR, Manns B, et al. Clinicians' and researchers' perspectives on establishing and implementing core outcomes in haemodialysis: semi-structured interview study. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2018 Apr 20;8(4):e021198. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021198 • Tong A, Sautenet B, Chapman JR, Harper C, MacDonald P, Shackel N, et al. Research priority setting in organ transplantation: a systematic review. <i>Transpl Int</i> 2017 Apr;30(4):327-343.
 Cochrane Methods Bias	• Baudard M, Yavchitz A, Ravaud P, Perrodeau E, Boutron I. Impact of searching clinical trial registries in systematic reviews of pharmaceutical treatments: methodological systematic review and reanalysis of meta-analyses. <i>BMJ</i> 2017;356:j448. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j448 • Bello S, Moustgaard H, Hrobjartsson A. Unreported formal assessment of unblinding occurred in 4 of 10 randomized clinical trials, unreported loss of blinding in 1 of 10 trials. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2017;81:42-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.08.002 • Blanco D, Kirkham JJ, Altman DG, Moher D, Boutron I, Cobo E. Interventions to improve adherence to reporting guidelines in health research: a scoping review protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2017;7(11):e017551. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017551 • Boutron I, Altman DG, Moher D, Schulz KF, Ravaud P, CONSORT NPT Group. CONSORT Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments: A 2017 Update and a CONSORT Extension for Nonpharmacologic Trial Abstracts. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 2017;167(1):40-47. doi: 10.7326/m17-0046 • Boutron I, Ravaud P. Misrepresentation and distortion of research in biomedical literature. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci USA</i> 2018;115(11):2613-19. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1710755115 • Brennan SE, McKenzie JE, Turner T, Redman S, Makkar S, Williamson A, et al. Development and validation of SEER (Seeking, Engaging with and Evaluating Research): a measure of policymakers' capacity to engage with and use research. <i>Health Res Policy Syst</i> 2017;15(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s12961-016-0162-8 • Brett J, Staniszewska S, Simera I, Seers K, Mockford C, Goodlad S, et al. Reaching consensus on reporting patient and public involvement (PPI) in research: methods and lessons learned from the development of reporting guidelines. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2017;7(10):e016948. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016948 • Chan AW, Hrobjartsson A. Promoting public access to clinical trial protocols: challenges and recommendations. <i>Trials</i> 2018;19(1):116. doi: 10.1186/s13063-018-2510-1 • Dechartres A, Trinquart L, Atal I, Moher D, Dickersin K, Boutron I, et al. Evolution of poor reporting and inadequate methods over time in 20 920 randomised controlled trials included in Cochrane reviews: research on research study. <i>BMJ</i> 2017;357:j2490. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j2490 • Hopewell S, Witt CM, Linde K, Icke K, Adedire O, Kirtley S et al. Influence of peer review on the reporting of primary outcome(s) and statistical analyses of randomised trials. <i>Trials</i> 2018;19(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s13063-017-2395-4 • Lonjon G, Porcher R, Ergina P, Fouet M, Boutron I. Potential Pitfalls of Reporting and Bias in Observational Studies With Propensity Score Analysis Assessing a Surgical Procedure: A Methodological Systematic Review. <i>Ann Surg</i> 2017;265(5):901-09. doi: 10.1097/sla.0000000000001797 • Lopez-Lopez JA, Page MJ, Lipsey MW, Higgins JPT. Dealing with effect size multiplicity in systematic reviews and meta-analyses. <i>Res Synth Methods</i> 2018 doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1310

	• Marshall IJ, Noel-Storr A, Kuiper J, Thomas J, Wallace BC. Machine learning for identifying Randomized Controlled Trials: An evaluation and practitioner's guide. <i>Res Synth Methods</i> 2018 doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1287 • Mayo-Wilson E, Li T, Fusco N, Bertizzolo L, Canner JK, Cowley T et al. Cherry-picking by trialists and meta-analysts can drive conclusions about intervention efficacy. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2017;91:95-110. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.07.014 • Page MJ, Altman DG, McKenzie JE, Shamseer L, Ahmadzai N, Wolfe D et al. Flaws in the application and interpretation of statistical analyses in systematic reviews of therapeutic interventions were common: a cross-sectional analysis. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;95:7-18. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.022 • Page MJ, Altman DG, Shamseer L, McKenzie JE, Ahmadzai N, Wolfe D et al. Reproducible research practices are underused in systematic reviews of biomedical interventions. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;94:8-18. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.10.017 • Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Higgins JPT. Tools for assessing risk of reporting biases in studies and syntheses of studies: a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2018;8(3):e019703. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019703 • Page MJ, Moher D. Evaluations of the uptake and impact of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement and extensions: a scoping review. <i>Syst Rev</i> 2017;6(1):263. doi: 10.1186/s13643-017-0663-8 • Page MJ, Shamseer L, Tricco AC. Registration of systematic reviews in PROSPERO: 30,000 records and counting. <i>Syst Rev</i> 2018;7(1):32. doi: 10.1186/s13643-018-0699-4 • Pussegoda K, Turner L, Garritty C, Mayhew A, Skidmore B, Stevens A et al. Systematic review adherence to methodological or reporting quality. <i>Syst Rev</i> 2017;6(1):131. doi: 10.1186/s13643-017-0527-2 • Pussegoda K, Turner L, Garritty C, Mayhew A, Skidmore B, Stevens A et al. Identifying approaches for assessing methodological and reporting quality of systematic reviews: a descriptive study. <i>Syst Rev</i> 2017;6(1):117. doi: 10.1186/s13643-017-0507-6 • Shamseer L, Moher D, Maduekwe O, Turner L, Barbour V, Burch R et al. Potential predatory and legitimate biomedical journals: can you tell the difference? A cross-sectional comparison. <i>BMC Med</i> 2017;15(1):28. doi: 10.1186/s12916-017-0785-9 • Thomas J, Noel-Storr A, Marshall I, et al. Living systematic reviews: 2. Combining human and machine effort. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2017;91:31-37. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.011
 Cochrane Methods Comparing Multiple Interventions	• Riley RD, Jackson D, Salanti G, Burke DL, Price M, Kirkham J, White IR. Multivariate and network meta-analysis of multiple outcomes and multiple treatments: rationale, concepts, and examples. <i>BMJ</i> 2017 Sep 13;358:j3932. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j3932. • Nikolakopoulou A, Mavridis D, Furukawa TA, Cipriani A, Tricco AC, Straus SE, et al. Living network meta-analysis compared with pairwise meta-analysis in comparative effectiveness research: empirical study. <i>BMJ</i> 2018 Feb 28;360:k585. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k585. • Molloy GJ, Noone C, Caldwell D, Welton NJ, Newell J. Network meta-analysis in health psychology and behavioural medicine: a primer. <i>Health Psychol Rev</i> 2018 Apr 5:1-17. doi: 10.1080/17437199.2018.1457449. • Bagg MK, Salanti G, McAuley JH. Comparing interventions with network meta-analysis. <i>J Physiother.</i> 2018 Apr;64(2):128-132. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2018.02.014. • Nikolakopoulou A, Mavridis D, Egger M, Salanti G. Continuously updated network meta-analysis and statistical monitoring for timely decision-making. <i>Stat Methods Med Res</i> 2018 May;27(5):1312-1330. doi: 10.1177/0962280216659896.

	Debray TP, Schuit E, Efthimiou O, Reitsma JB, Ioannidis JP, Salanti G, et al. GetReal Workpackage. An overview of methods for network meta-analysis using individual participant data: when do benefits arise? <i>Stat Methods Med Res</i>. 2018 May;27(5):1351-1364. doi: 10.1177/0962280216660741.
 Cochrane Methods Economics	- Lawrenson J, Graham-Rowe E, Lorencatto F, Rice S, Bunce C, Francis J et al. What works to increase attendance for diabetic retinopathy screening? An evidence synthesis and economic analysis. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> 2018;22(29):1-160. - Lawrenson JG, Graham-Rowe E, Lorencatto F, Burr J, Bunce C, Francis JJ et al. Interventions to increase attendance for diabetic retinopathy screening. Interventions to increase attendance for diabetic retinopathy screening. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2018, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD012054. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012054.pub2. - Glazener CMA, Cooper K, Mashayekhi A. Anterior vaginal repair for urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD001755. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001755.pub2. - Ford AA, Rogerson L, Cody JD, Aluko P, Ogah JA. Mid-urethral sling operations for stress urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD006375. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006375.pub4. - Dean N, Ellis G, Herbison GP, Wilson D, Mashayekhi A. Laparoscopic colposuspension for urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD002239. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002239.pub3. - Nambiar A, Cody JD, Jeffery ST, Aluko P. Single-incision sling operations for urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD008709. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008709.pub3. - Rehman H, Bezerra CA, Bruschini H, Cody JD, Aluko P. Traditional suburethral sling operations for urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD001754. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001754.pub4. - Kirchin V, Page T, Keegan PE, Atiemo KOM, Cody JD, McClinton S, Aluko P. Urethral injection therapy for urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD003881. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003881.pub4. - Glazener CMA, Cooper K, Mashayekhi A. Bladder neck needle suspension for urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD003636. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003636.pub4. - Lapitan MCM, Cody JD, Mashayekhi A. Open retropubic colposuspension for urinary incontinence in women. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD002912. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002912.pub7. - Graham-Rowe E, Lorencatto F, Lawrenson JG, Burr JM, Grimshaw JM, Ivers NM et al. Barriers to and enablers of diabetic retinopathy screening attendance: a systematic review of published and grey literature. <i>Diabetic Medicine</i> 2018 May 23. doi: 10.1111/dme.13686. [Epub ahead of print].
 Cochrane Methods Equity	- Marshall Z, Brunger F, Welch V, Asghari S, Kaposy C. Open availability of patient medical photographs in Google images search results: Cross-sectional study of transgender research. <i>J Med Internet Res</i> 2018;20(2):e70. - Lu J, Danko KJ, Elfassy MD, Welch V, Grimshaw JM, Ivers NM. Do quality improvement initiatives for diabetes care address social inequalities? Secondary analysis of a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2018;8(2). - Nixon SA, Lee K, Bhutta ZA, Blanchard J, Haddad S, Hoffman SJ, Tugwell P. Canada's global health role: supporting equity and global citizenship as a middle power. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10131):1736-1748. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30322-2.

	• Zhang Y, Alonso Coelle P, Guyatt G, Yepes-Nunez JJ, Akl EA, Hazlewood G, et al. GRADE Guidelines: 19. Assessing the certainty of evidence in the importance of outcomes or values and preferences -- Risk of bias and indirectness. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018 Feb 13. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.01.013. • Welch V, Petkovic J, Simeon R, Plessieu J, Gagnon D, Hossain A, et al. Interactive social media interventions for health behaviour change, health outcomes, and health equity in the adult population. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2018, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD012932. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012932 • Farrell B, Black C, Thompson W, McCarthy L, Rojas-Fernandez C, Lochnan H, et al. Deprescribing antihyperglycemic agents in older persons: Evidence-based clinical practice guideline. <i>Can Fam Physician</i>. 2017 Nov;63(11):832-843. • Bolvig J, Juhl CB, Boutron I, Tugwell P, Ghogomu EAT, Pardo JP, et al. Some Cochrane risk of bias items are not important in osteoarthritis trials: A meta-epidemiological study based on Cochrane reviews. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i>. 2017. pii: S0895-4356(17)31326-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.026. [Epub ahead of print] • Tugwell P, Petkovic J, Welch V, Vincent J, Bhutta ZA, Churchill R, et al. Setting priorities for knowledge translation of Cochrane reviews for health equity: Evidence for Equity. <i>International Journal for Equity in Health</i> 2017;16:208. • Welch VA, Norheim OF, Jull J, Cookson R, Sommerfelt H, Tugwell P, CONSORT-Equity and Boston Equity Symposium. CONSORT-Equity 2017 extension and elaboration for better reporting of health equity in randomised trials. <i>BMJ</i> 2017;359:j5085. • Ramke J, Palagyi A, Petkovic J, Gilbert CE. Reporting of inequities in blindness in low income and middle income countries: a review of cross-sectional surveys. <i>Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology</i> 2017;46(1):99-100. • Ramke J, Petkovic J, Welch V, Bligneault I, Gilbert C, Blanchet L, et al. Interventions to improve access to cataract surgical services and their impact on equity in low- and middle-income countries. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD011307. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011307.pub2. • Eslava-Schmalbach J, Mosquera P, Alzate JP, Pottie K, Welch V, Akl E, et al. Considering health equity when moving from evidence-based guideline recommendations to implementation: a case study from an upper-middle income country on the GRADE approach. <i>Health Policy Plan</i> 2017. [Epub ahead of print] • Cornelsen L, Mytton OT, Adams J, Gsaparrini A, Iskander D, Knai C, et al. Change in non-alcoholic beverage sales following a 10-pence levy on sugar-sweetened beverages within a national chain of restaurants in the UK: interrupted time series analysis of a natural experiment. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 2017;71(11):1107-1112. • Petticrew M, Katikireddi SV, Knai C, Cassidy R, Maani Hessari N, Thomas J, et al. 'Nothing can be done until everything is done': the use of complexity arguments by food, beverage, alcohol and gambling industries. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 2017;71(11):1078-1083.
--	--

IPD meta-analysis methods research publications

- Audigier V, White I, R, Jolani S, Debray TPA, Quartagno M, Carpenter J, et al. Multiple imputation for multilevel data with continuous and binary variables. *Statistical Science*. 2018;**33**(2):160-83.
- Debray TPA, Moons KGM, Riley RD. Detecting small-study effects and funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analysis of survival data: A comparison of new and existing tests. *Res Synth Methods* 2018;**9**(1):41-50.
- Fisher DJ, Carpenter JR, Morris TP, Freeman SC, Tierney JF. Meta-analytical methods to identify who benefits most from treatments: daft, deluded, or deft approach? *BMJ*. 2017;**356**:j573.
- Freeman SC, Fisher D, Tierney JF, Carpenter JR. A framework for identifying treatment-covariate interactions in individual participant data network meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods* 2018. May 8. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1300. [Epub ahead of print].
- Vergouwe Y, Nieboer D, Oostenbrink R, Debray TPA, Murray GD, Kattan MW, et al. A closed testing procedure to select an appropriate method for updating prediction models. *Stat Med* 2017;**36**(28):4529-39.
- van Hoorn R, Tummers M, Booth A, Gerhardus A, Rehfuss E, Hind D, et al. The development of CHAMP: a checklist for the appraisal of moderators and predictors. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2017;**17**(1):173.
- Ohmann C, Banzi R, Canham S, Battaglia S, Matei M, Ariyo C, et al. Sharing and reuse of individual participant data from clinical trials: principles and recommendations. *BMJ Open* 2017;**7**(12):e018647.
- Rogozinska E, Marlin N, Thangaratinam S, Khan KS, Zamora J. Meta-analysis using individual participant data from randomised trials: opportunities and limitations created by access to raw data. *Evid Based Med* 2017;**22**(5):157-62.
- Makady A, Stegenga H, Ciaglia A, Debray TP, Lees M, Happich M, et al. Practical implications of using real-world evidence (RWE) in comparative effectiveness research: learnings from IMI-GetReal. *J Comp Eff Res* 2017;**6**(6):485-90.

IPD meta-analysis publications

- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Long-term outcomes for neoadjuvant versus adjuvant chemotherapy in early breast cancer: meta-analysis of individual patient data from ten randomised trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2018;**19**(1):27-39.
- Pan H, Gray R, Braybrooke J, Davies C, Taylor C, McGale P, et al. 20-year risks of breast-cancer recurrence after stopping endocrine therapy at 5 years. *N Engl J Med* 2017;**377**(19):1836-46.
- Taylor C, Correa C, Duane FK, Aznar MC, Anderson SJ, Bergh J, et al. Estimating the risks of breast cancer radiotherapy: evidence from modern radiation doses to the lungs and heart and from previous randomized trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;**35**(15):1641-49.
- Hacke W, Lyden P, Emberson J, Baigent C, Blackwell L, Albers G, et al. Effects of alteplase for acute stroke according to criteria defining the European Union and United States marketing authorizations: Individual-patient-data meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Int J Stroke* 2018;**13**(2):175-89.
- Langhorne P, Baylan S, Early Supported Discharge T. Early supported discharge services for people with acute stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD000443. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000443.pub4

	• Nevitt SJ, Sudell M, Weston J, Tudur Smith C, Marson AG. Antiepileptic drug monotherapy for epilepsy: a network meta-analysis of individual participant data. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD011412. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011412.pub2. • Sguassero Y, Roberts KN, Harvey GB, Comande D, Ciapponi A, Cuesta CB, et al. Course of serological tests in treated subjects with chronic <i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i> infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. <i>Int J Infect Dis</i> 2018;73:93-101. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2018.05.019. Epub 2018 Jun 4. • Scally B, Emberson JR, Spata E, Reith C, Davies K, Halls H, et al. Effects of gastroprotectant drugs for the prevention and treatment of peptic ulcer disease and its complications: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. <i>Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol</i> 2018;3(4):231-41. • Nagendran M, McAuley DF, Kruger PS, Papazian L, Truitt JD, Laffey JG, et al. Statin therapy for acute respiratory distress syndrome: an individual patient data meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. <i>Intensive Care Med</i> 2017;43(5):663-71. • International Weight Management in Pregnancy Collaborative G. Effect of diet and physical activity based interventions in pregnancy on gestational weight gain and pregnancy outcomes: meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. <i>BMJ</i> 2017;358:j3119. • Rogozinska E, Marlin N, Jackson L, Rayanagoudar G, Ruifrok AE, Dodds J, et al. Effects of antenatal diet and physical activity on maternal and fetal outcomes: individual patient data meta-analysis and health economic evaluation. <i>Health Technol Assess</i> 2017;21(41):1-158. • Westeneng HJ, Debray TPA, Visser AE, van Eijk RPA, Rooney JPK, Calvo A, et al. Prognosis for patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: development and validation of a personalised prediction model. <i>Lancet Neurol</i> 2018;17(5):423-33.
 Cochrane Methods Information Retrieval	• Arber M, Garcia S, Veale T, Edwards M, Shaw A, Glanville JM. Performance of Ovid MEDLINE search filters to identify health state utility studies. <i>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</i> 2017 Jan;33(4):472-480. doi: 10.1017/S0266462317000897. Epub 2017 Oct 25. PubMed PMID: 29065942. • Briscoe S, Cooper C, Glanville J, Lefebvre C. The loss of the NHS EED and DARE databases and the effect on evidence synthesis and evaluation. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2017 Sep;8(3):256-257. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1235. Epub 2017 Mar 7. PubMed PMID:28268247 • Isojarvi J, Wood H, Lefebvre C, Glanville J. Challenges of identifying unpublished data from clinical trials: Getting the best out of clinical trials registers and other novel sources. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2018 Feb 7. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1294. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 29411948. • Lefebvre C, Glanville J, Beale S, Boachie C, Duffy S, Fraser C, et al. Assessing the performance of methodological search filters to improve the efficiency of evidence information retrieval: five literature reviews and a qualitative study. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> 2017 Nov;21(69):1-148. doi: 10.3310/hta21690. PubMed PMID: 29188764; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5723931. • McGowan J. Measuring most informative titles (declarative titles) as a knowledge translation dissemination tool is possible using altmetrics. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2017 May;85:12-13. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.03.009. PubMed PMID: 28645362.

	• Striffler L, Cardoso R, McGowan J, Cogo E, Nincic V, Khan PA, et al. Scoping review identifies significant number of knowledge translation theories, models, and frameworks with limited use. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2018 Apr 13. pii: S0895-4356(17)31417-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.04.008. [Epub ahead of print] Review. PubMed PMID: 29660481. • Wood H, O'Connor A, Sargeant J, Glanville J. Information retrieval for systematic reviews in food and feed topics: A narrative review. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2018 Jan 9. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1289. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID:29316306.
 Cochrane Methods NRS for Interventions	• Shea B, Reeves BC, Thuku M, Hamel C, Wells GA, Moran JD, et al. AMSTAR2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomized or non-randomized studies of healthcare interventions, or both. <i>BMJ</i> 2017;358:j4008 doi: 10.1136/bmj.j4008
 Cochrane Methods Patient Reported Outcomes	• Sujimoto Y, Tsutsumi Y, Kataoka Y, Tsujimoto H, Yamamoto Y, Papola D, et al. Association between statistical significance and time to publication among systematic reviews: a study protocol for a meta-epidemiological investigation. <i>BMJ Open</i>. 2017 Oct 22;7(10):e018856. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018856. PubMed PMID: 29061634; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5665249. • Kahale LA, Diab B, Brignardello-Petersen R, Agarwal A, Mustafa RA, Kwong J, et al. Systematic reviews do not adequately report, or address missing outcome data in their analyses: a methodological survey. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018 Mar 2. pii: S0895-4356(17)31397-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.02.016. [Epub ahead of print] Review. PubMed PMID: 29505859.
 Cochrane Methods Prognosis	Methods/guidance papers • Audigier V, White IR, Jolani S, Debray TPA, Quartagno M, Carpenter JR, et al. Multiple imputation for multilevel data with continuous and binary variables. <i>Statistical Science</i> 2018;33(2):160–83. • Debray TPA, Moons KGM, Riley RD. Detecting small-study effects and funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analysis of survival data: A comparison of new and existing tests. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2018 Mar;9(1):41-50. • Debray TP, Schuit E, Efthimiou O, Reitsma JB, Ioannidis JP, Salanti G, et al. An overview of methods for network meta-analysis using individual participant data: when do benefits arise? <i>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</i> 2018;7(5):1351–64. • Debray TPA, Damen JAAG, Riley RD, Snell K, Reitsma JB, Hooft L et al. A framework for meta-analysis of prediction model studies with binary and time-to-event outcomes (accepted for publication in <i>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</i>). • Debray TPA, Damen JAAG, Snell KI, Ensor J, Hooft L, Reitsma JB, et al. A guide to systematic review and meta-analysis of prediction model performance. <i>BMJ</i> 2017;356:i6460. • Ensor J, Burke DL, Snell KIE, Hemming K, Riley RD. Simulation-based power calculations for planning a two-stage individual participant data meta-analysis. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 2018;18(1):41. • Wynants L, Riley RD, Timmerman D, Van Calster B. Random-effects meta-analysis of the clinical utility of tests and prediction models. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> 2018;37(12):2034-2052. • Snell KI, Ensor J, Debray TP, Moons KG, Riley RD. Meta-analysis of prediction model performance across multiple studies: Which scale helps ensure between-study normality for the C-statistic and calibration measures? <i>Stat Methods Med Res</i> 2017 Jan 1:962280217705678. doi: 10.1177/0962280217705678. [Epub ahead of print].

	- van Hoorn R, Tummers M, Booth A, Gerhardus A, Rehfuess E, Hind D, et al. The development of CHAMP: a checklist for the appraisal of moderators and predictors. <i>BMC Med Res Methodol</i> 2017;17(1):173. doi: 10.1186/s12874-017-0451-0. Example systematic reviews of prognosis studies - Loymans RJB, Debray TPA, Honkoop PJ, Termeer EH, Snoeck-Stroband JB, Schermer TRJ, et al. Exacerbations in Adults with Asthma: A Systematic Review and External Validation of Prediction Models. <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2018 Feb 15. pii: S2213-2198(18)30096-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2018.02.004. [Epub ahead of print] - Smith T, Muller DC, Moons KGM, Cross AJ, Johansson M, Ferrari P, et al. Comparison of prognostic models to predict the occurrence of colorectal cancer in asymptomatic individuals: a systematic literature review and external validation in the EPIC and UK Biobank prospective cohort studies. <i>Gut</i> 2018 Apr 3. pii: gutjnl-2017-315730. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-315730. [Epub ahead of print] - Van Doorn S, Debray TPA, Kaasenbrood F, Hoes AW, Rutten FH, Moons KGM, et al. Predictive performance of the CHA2DS2-VASc rule in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>J Thromb Haemost</i> 2017;15(6):1065-77. Protocols of Cochrane prognosis reviews - Brignell A, Albein-Urios N, Woolfenden S, et al. Overall prognosis of preschool autism spectrum disorder diagnoses. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2017, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD012749. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012749. - Gurusamy KS, Debray TPA, Rompianesi G. Prognostic models for predicting the severity and mortality in people with acute pancreatitis. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2018, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD013026. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD013026. - Westby MJ, Dumville JC, Stubbs N, Norman G, Wong JKF, Cullum N et al. Protease activity as a prognostic factor for wound healing in venous leg ulcers. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2018, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD012841. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012841.pub2.
 Cochrane Methods Prospective Meta-analysis	- Askie L, Darlow, BA, Finer N, Schmidt B, Stenson B, Tarnow-Mordi W, et al, for the Neonatal Oxygenation Prospective Meta-analysis (NeOProM) Collaboration. Association Between Oxygen Saturation Targeting and Death or Disability in Extremely Preterm Infants in the Neonatal Oxygenation Prospective Meta-analysis Collaboration. <i>JAMA</i> 2018;319(21):2190-201. - Singh A, Gopal S, Kim E, Mathews M, Kern-Sliwa J, Turkoz I, et al. Methodological Challenges in Indirect Treatment Comparisons: Spotlight on a Recent Comparison of Long-acting Injectable Aripiprazole versus Paliperidone Palmitate in the Treatment of Schizophrenia. <i>International Clinical Psychopharmacology</i> 2018 (in press). - Korevaar DA, Hooft L, Askie L, Barbour V, Faure H, Tsonis GA, et al. Facilitating prospective registration of diagnostic accuracy studies: A STARD Initiative. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> 2017; 63(8):1331-1341. - Roush GC, Perez F, Abdelfattah R, Prindle A, Jean E, Singh T, et al. Quality of Meta-Analyses for Randomized Trials in the Field of Hypertension: an Updated and Improved Systematic Review. <i>Curr Hypertens Rep</i> 2017;19:71

 Cochrane Methods Qualitative and Implementation	- Booth, A. (2017). Qualitative Evidence Synthesis. In Facey K (ed) Patient Involvement in Health Technology Assessment (pp. 187-199). Springer Singapore. - Booth A, Noyes J, Flemming K, Gerhardus A, Wahlster P, van der Wilt GJ et al. Structured methodology review identified seven (RETREAT) criteria for selecting qualitative evidence synthesis approaches. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;99:41-52. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.03.003. - Britten N, Garside R, Pope C, Frost J, Cooper C. Asking more of qualitative synthesis: A response to Sally Thorne. <i>Qualitative Health Research</i> 2017;27(9):1370-1376. doi:10.4973/2317709010. - Cooper C, Lovell R, Husk K, Booth A, Garside R. Supplementary search methods were more effective and offered better value than bibliographic database searching: A case study from public health and environmental enhancement. <i>Res Synth Methods</i> 2018;9(2):195-223. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1286. - Dalton J, Booth A, Noyes J, Sowden AJ. Potential value of systematic reviews of qualitative evidence in informing user-centered health and social care: findings from a descriptive overview. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2017;24:pii: S0895-4356(16)30303-1. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.04.020. - Hannes K, Petr K, Heyvaert M. The meta-aggregative approach to qualitative evidence synthesis: a worked example on experiences of pupils with special educational needs in inclusive education. <i>International Journal of Research & Method in Education</i> 2018;41(3):291-305. - van Grootel L, van Wesel F, O'Mara-Eves A, Thomas J, Hox J, Boeije H. Using the realist perspective to link theory from qualitative evidence synthesis to quantitative studies: Broadening the matrix approach. <i>Res Synth Methods</i> 2017;8(3):303-311. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1241. - Noyes J, Booth A, Cargo M, Flemming K, Garside R, Hannes K, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance series-paper 1: introduction. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;97:35-38. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.09.025. Epub 2017 Dec 11. - Harris JL, Booth A, Cargo M, Hannes K, Harden A, Flemming K, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance series-paper 2: methods for question formulation, searching, and protocol development for qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;97:39-48. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.10.023. Epub 2017 Dec 15. - Noyes J, Booth A, Flemming K, Garside R, Harden A, Lewin S, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance series-paper 3: methods for assessing methodological limitations, data extraction and synthesis, and confidence in synthesized qualitative findings. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;97:49-58. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.06.020. Epub 2017 Dec 13. - Cargo M, Harris J, Pantoja T, Booth A, Harden A, Hannes K, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance series-paper 4: methods for assessing evidence on intervention implementation. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018 May;97:59-69. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.028. Epub 2017 Dec 7. - Harden A, Thomas J, Cargo M, Harris J, Pantoja T, Flemming K, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance series-paper 5: methods for integrating qualitative and implementation evidence within intervention effectiveness reviews. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;97:70-78. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.029. Epub 2017 Dec 11. - Flemming K, Booth A, Hannes K, Cargo M, Noyes J. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance series-paper 6: reporting guidelines for qualitative, implementation, and process evaluation evidence syntheses. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 2018;97:79-85. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.10.022. Epub 2017 Dec 6.
---	---

	- Lewin S, Booth A, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas H, Rashidian A, Wainwright M, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings: introduction to the series. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2018;13(Suppl 1):2. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0688-3. - Lewin S, Bohren M, Rashidian A, Munthe-Kaas H, Glenton C, Colvin CJ, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 2: how to make an overall CERQual assessment of confidence and create a Summary of Qualitative Findings table. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2018;13(Suppl 1):10. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0689-2. - Munthe-Kaas H, Bohren MA, Glenton C, Lewin S, Noyes J, Tunçalp Ö, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 3: how to assess methodological limitations. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2018;13(Suppl 1):9. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0690-9. - Colvin CJ, Garside R, Wainwright M, Munthe-Kaas H, Glenton C, Bohren MA, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 4: how to assess coherence. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2018;13(Suppl 1):13. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0691-8. - Glenton C, Carlsen B, Lewin S, Munthe-Kaas H, Colvin CJ, Tunçalp Ö, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 5: how to assess adequacy of data. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2018;13(Suppl 1):14. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0692-7. - Noyes J, Booth A, Lewin S, Carlsen B, Glenton C, Colvin CJ, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 6: how to assess relevance of the data. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2018;13(Suppl 1):4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0693-6. - Booth A, Lewin S, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas H, Toews I, Noyes J, et al. GRADE-CERQual Coordinating Team. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 7: understanding the potential impacts of dissemination bias. <i>Implement Sci</i> 2018;13(Suppl 1):12. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0694-5.
 Cochrane Methods Rapid Reviews	- Nussbaumer-Streit B, Klerings I, Wagner G, Heise TL, Dobrescu AI, Armijo-Olivo S, et al. Abbreviated literature searches were viable alternatives to comprehensive searches: a meta-epidemiological study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2018. - Sigfrid L, Moore C, Garritty CM, Maayan N, Lutje V, Marshall R, et al. Rapid research needs appraisal methodology. 2018 - Garritty CM, Stevens A, Hersi M, Monfaredi Z, Hamel C, Ahmadzai N, et al. Assessing rapid reviews as an evidence product for policymakers: a protocol for a cross-sectional study. 2018 - King VJ, Garritty C, Stevens A, Nussbaumer-Streit B, Hartling L, Harrod CS, et al. Chapter 2—Performing rapid reviews. In Tricco AC, Langlois EV, Straus SE, editors. Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: a practical guide. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. - Wagner G, Nussbaumer-Streit B, Greimel J, Ciapponi A, Gartlehner G. Trading certainty for speed - how much uncertainty are decisionmakers and guideline developers willing to accept when using rapid reviews: an international survey. <i>BMC Med Res Methodol</i>. 2017;17(1):121. doi: 10.1186/s12874-017-0406-5. PMID: 28806999 - Catalá-López F, Stevens A, Garritty C, Hutton B. Revisiones rápidas para la síntesis de la evidencia [Rapid reviews for evidence synthesis]. <i>Med Clin (Barc)</i> 2017. pii: S0025-7753(16)30708-4. doi: 10.1016/j.medcli.2016.12.016.

 Cochrane Methods Screening and Diagnostic Tests	McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, McGrath TA, Bossuyt PM; the PRISMA-DTA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: The PRISMA-DTA Statement. <i>JAMA</i> 2018;319(4):388-96.
 Cochrane Methods Statistics	- Simmonds M, Salanti G, McKenzie J, Elliott J, Living systematic reviews: 3. Statistical methods for updating meta-analyses, <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2017;91:38-46. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.08.008 - Veroniki AA, Jackson D, Viechtbauer W, Bender R, Bowden J, Knapp G, et al., Methods to estimate heterogeneity variance and its uncertainty in meta-analysis. <i>Res Synth Method</i> 2016;7(1); 55-79. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1164