

 Cochrane Methods Adverse Effects	• Golder S, Norman G, Loke YK. Systematic review on the prevalence, frequency and comparative value of adverse events data in social media. <i>British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology</i> 2015;80(4):878-88. • Zorzela L, Loke YK, Ioannidis JP, Golder S, Santaguida P, Altman DG, et al. PRISMA harms checklist: improving harms reporting in systematic reviews. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;352:i157 • Brandao G, Junqueira D, Rollo H, Sobreira M. Pentasaccharides for the treatment of deep vein thrombosis (Protocol). <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2015, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD011782. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011782. • Junqueira D. Assessment of main adverse drug reactions in systematic reviews and clinical trials of heparins for surgery prophylaxis. <i>Trials</i> 2015;16(Suppl 3):P1. • Cachat F, Golder S, Junqueira D, Vohra S. Continued caution recommended in use of intravenous iron preparations. <i>Mayo Clinic Proceedings</i> 2015;90(5):695-6.
 Cochrane Methods Priority Setting	• Garner P, Hopewell S, Chandler J, MacLehose H, Akl E, Beyene J, et al. When to update systematic reviews and how to do it: consensus and checklist. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;354:i3507. • Karimkhani C, Trikha R, Aksut B, Jones T, Boyers LN, Schlichte M, et al. Identifying gaps for research prioritisation: global burden of external causes of injury as reflected in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. <i>Injury</i> 2016;47:1151-7. • Mbuagbaw L, Kredo T, Welch V, Mursleen S, Ross S, Zani B, et al. Critical EPICOT items were absent in Cochrane human immunodeficiency virus systematic reviews: a bibliometric analysis. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;74:66-72. • Bhaumik S, Karimkhani C, Czaja CA, Williams HC, Rani M, Nasser M, et al. Identifying gaps in research prioritization: the global burden of neglected tropical diseases as reflected in the Cochrane database of systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care</i> 2015;4(4):507-13. • Moher D, Glasziou P, Chalmers I, Nasser M, Bossuyt PM, Korevaar DA, et al. Increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research: who's listening? <i>Lancet</i> 2016;387:1573-86. • Tong A, Crowe S, Chando S, Cass A, Chadban SJ, Chapman JR, et al. Research Priorities in CKD: Report of a National Workshop Conducted in Australia. <i>American Journal of Kidney Disease</i> 2015;66(2):212-22. • Bhaumik S, Rana S, Karimkhani C, Welch V, Armstrong R, Pottie K, et al. Ethics and equity in research priority-setting: stakeholder engagement and the needs of disadvantaged groups. <i>Indian Journal of Medical Ethics</i> 2015;12(2):110-3.

	• Petkovic J. Commentary on ethics and equity in research priority-setting: stakeholder engagement and the needs of disadvantaged groups. <i>Cochrane Methods. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;Suppl 1:1-72. • Nasser M. Commentary on engaging stakeholders to identify evidence synthesis priorities for National Health Insurance Schemes: lessons learned from Uganda. <i>Cochrane Methods. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;Suppl 1:1-72. • Gibson M, Fox DM, King V, Zerzan J, Garrett JE, King N. Methods and processes to select and prioritize research topics and report design in a public health insurance programme (Medicaid) in the USA. <i>Cochrane Methods. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;Suppl 1:1-72. • Chalmers I, Fox DM. Increasing the incidence and influence of systematic reviews on health policy and practice. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> 2016;106(1):11-3. • Kieny MP, Viergever RF, Adam T, Boerma T, Røttingen JA. Global platform to inform investments for health R&D. <i>Lancet</i> 2016;387(10024):1157. • Viergever RF, Hendriks TC. The 10 largest public and philanthropic funders of health research in the world: what they fund and how they distribute their funds. <i>Health Research Policy and Systems</i> 2016;14:12.
 Cochrane Methods Bias	• Baudart M, Ravaud P, Baron G, Dechartres A, Haneef R, Boutron I. Public availability of results of observational studies evaluating an intervention registered at ClinicalTrials.gov. <i>BMC Medicine</i> 2016;14:7. • Hopewell S, Boutron I, Altman DG, Ravaud P. Deficiencies in the publication and reporting of the results of systematic reviews presented at scientific medical conferences. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2015;68(12):1488-95. • Lazarus C, Haneef R, Ravaud P, Hopewell S, Altman DG, Boutron I. Peer-reviewers identified spin in manuscripts of non-randomized studies assessing therapeutic interventions, but their impact on spin in abstract conclusions was limited. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;77:44-51. • Lonjon G, Porcher R, Ergina P, Fouet M, Boutron I. Potential pitfalls of reporting and bias in observational studies with propensity score analysis assessing a surgical procedure: a methodological systematic review. <i>Annals of Surgery</i> 2016;265(5):901-909. • Jørgensen L, Paludan-Müller AS, Laursen DR, Savović J, Boutron I, Sterne JA, et al. Evaluation of the Cochrane tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized clinical trials: overview of published comments and analysis of user practice in Cochrane and non-Cochrane reviews. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2016;5(1):80.

	• Moher D, Altman DG: Four proposals to help improve the medical research literature. <i>PLOS Medicine</i> 2015;12(9):e1001864. • Moher D, Glasziou P, Chalmers I, Nasser M, Bossuyt PM, Korevaar DA. Increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research: who's listening? <i>Lancet</i> 2016;387(10027):1573-86. • Page MJ, Shamseer L, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Sampson M, Tricco AC, et al. Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of systematic reviews of biomedical research: a cross-sectional study. <i>PLOS Medicine</i> 2016;13(5):e1002028. • Yavchitz A, Ravaud P, Altman DG, Moher D, Hrobjartsson A, Lasserson T, et al. A new classification of spin in systematic reviews and meta-analyses was developed and ranked according to the severity. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;75:56-65. • Tricco AC, Antony J, Zarin W, Striffler L, Ghassemi M, Ivory J, et al. A scoping review of rapid review methods. <i>BMC Medicine</i> 2015;13:224. • Zorzela L, Loke YK, Ioannidis JP, Golder S, Santaguida P, Altman DG, et al. PRISMA harms checklist: improving harms reporting in systematic reviews. <i>BMJ Clinical Research</i> 2016;353:i157. • Millard LAC, Flach PA, Higgins JPT. Machine learning to assist risk-of-bias assessments in systematic reviews. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> 2016;45(1):266-77.
 Cochrane Methods Comparing Multiple Interventions	• Hutton B, Salanti G, Caldwell DM, Chaimani A, Schmid CH, Cameron C, et al. The PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of healthcare interventions: checklist and explanations. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> 2015;162 (11):777-84. • Higgins JPT, Welton NJ. Network meta-analysis: a norm for comparative effectiveness? <i>The Lancet</i> 2015;386(9994):628-30. • White I. Network meta-analysis. <i>The Stata Journal</i> 2015;15(4):951-85 • Chaimani A, Salanti G. Visualizing assumptions and results in network meta-analysis: the network graph package. <i>The Stata Journal</i> 2015;15(4):905-50. • Mavridis D, Giannatsi M, Cipriani A, Salanti G. A primer on network meta-analysis with emphasis on mental health. <i>Evidence Based Mental Health</i> 2015;18(2):40-6. • Caldwell DM, Dias S, Welton NJ. Extending treatment networks in health technology assessment: how far should we go? <i>Value in Health</i> 2015;18(5):673-81. • Furukawa TA, Miura T, Chaimani A, Leucht S, Cipriani A, Noma H, et al. Using the contribution matrix to evaluate complex study limitations in a network meta-analysis: a case study of bipolar maintenance pharmacotherapy review. <i>BMC Research Notes</i> 2015;9(1):1.

	Crick K, Wingert A, Williams K, Fernandes RM, Thomson D, Hartling L. An evaluation of harvest plots to display results of meta-analyses in overviews of reviews: a cross-sectional study. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 2015;15(1):91.
 Cochrane Methods Equity	- Welch V, Jull J, Petkovic J, Armstrong R, Boyer Y, Cuervo LG, et al. Protocol for the development of a CONSORT equity guideline to improve reporting of health equity in randomized trials. <i>Implementation Science</i> 2015;10:146. - Miramontes L, Pottie K, Jandu MB, Welch V, Miller K, James M, et al. Including migrant populations in health impact assessments. <i>Bulletin of the World Health Organisation</i> 2015;93(12)888-9. - Welch V, Petticrew M, Petkovic J, Moher D, Waters E; PRISMA-Equity Bellagio Group. Extending the PRISMA Statement for equity-focused systematic reviews (PRISMA-E 2012): explanation and elaboration. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;70:68-89. Co-published in <i>International Journal for Equity in Health</i> and <i>The Journal of Development Effectiveness</i>. - McGill R, Anwar E, Orton L, Bromley H, Lloyd-Williams F, O'Flaherty M, et al. Are interventions to promote healthy eating equally effective for all? Systematic review of socioeconomic inequalities in impact. <i>BMC Public Health</i> 2015;15:457. - Pottie K, Martin JP, Cornish S, Biorklund LM, Gayton I, Doerner F, et al. Access to healthcare for the most vulnerable migrants: a humanitarian crisis. <i>Conflict Health</i> 2015;9:16. - Petkovic J, Welch V, Tugwell P. Do evidence summaries increase policy-makers' use of evidence from systematic reviews: a systematic review protocol. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;4:122. - Langlois EV, Ranson MK, Bärnighausen T, Bosch-Capblanch X, Daniels K, El-Jardali F, et al. Advancing the field of health systems research synthesis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;4:90. - Bhaumik S, Rana S, Karimkhani C, Welch V, Armstrong R, Pottie K, et al. Ethics and equity in research priority-setting: stakeholder engagement and the needs of disadvantaged groups. <i>Indian Journal of Medical Ethics</i> 2015;12(2):110-3. - Welch V, Boyer Y, Chamberlain C. Can Cochrane Reviews inform decisions to improve Indigenous people's health? [editorial]. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;(8): 10.1002/14651858.ED000103. - Iheozor-Ejiofor Z, Worthington HV, Walsh T, O'Malley L, Clarkson JE, Macey R, et al. Water fluoridation for the prevention of dental caries. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2015, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD010856. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010856.pub2

	• Nickerson JW, Hatcher-Roberts J, Adams O, Attaran A, Tugwell P. Assessments of health services availability in humanitarian emergencies: a review of assessments in Haiti and Sudan using a health systems approach. <i>Conflict Health</i> 2015;9:20. • Kristjansson E, Francis DK, Liberato S, Benkhalti Jandu M, Welch V, Batal M, et al. Food supplementation for improving the physical and psychosocial health of socio-economically disadvantaged children aged three months to five years. <i>Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</i> 2015, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD009924. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009924.pub2. • Petticrew M, Anderson L, Elder R, Grimshaw J, Hopkins D, Hahn R, et al. Complex interventions and their implications for systematic reviews: A pragmatic approach. <i>International Journal of Nursing Studies</i> 2015;52(7):1211-6.
 Cochrane Methods GRADEing	• Akl EA, Kahale LA, Ebrahim S, Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ, Guyatt GH. Three challenges described for identifying participants with missing data in trials reports, and potential solutions suggested to systematic reviewers. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;76:147-154. • Santesso N, Carrasco-Labra A, Langendam M, Brignardello-Petersen R, Mustafa RA, Heus P, et al. Improving GRADE evidence tables part 3: detailed guidance for explanatory footnotes supports creating and understanding GRADE certainty in the evidence judgments. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;74:28-39. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.12.006. • Langendam M, Carrasco-Labra A, Santesso N, Mustafa RA, Brignardello-Petersen R, Ventresca M, et al. Improving GRADE evidence tables part 2: a systematic survey of explanatory notes shows more guidance is needed. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;74:19-27. • Carrasco-Labra A, Brignardello-Petersen R, Santesso N, Neumann I, Mustafa RA, Mbuagbaw L, et al. Improving GRADE evidence tables part 1: a randomized trial shows improved understanding of content in summary of findings tables with a new format. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;74:7-18. • Mustafa RA, Wiercioch W, Santesso N, Cheung A, Prediger B, Baldeh T, et al. Decision-making about healthcare related tests and diagnostic strategies: user testing of GRADE evidence tables. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 2015;10(10):e0134553.

- Vale CL, Rydzewska L, Rovers MM, Emberson JR, Gueyffier F, Stewart LA; Cochrane IPD Meta-analysis Methods Group. [Uptake of systematic reviews and meta-analyses based on individual participant data in clinical practice guidelines: descriptive study](#). *BMJ* 2015;**350**:h1088.
- Tierney JF, Pignon JP, Gueyffier F, Clarke M, Askie L, Vale CL; Cochrane IPD Meta-analysis Methods Group. How individual participant data meta-analyses have influenced trial design, conduct and analysis. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2015;**68**(11):1325-35.
- Tierney JF, Vale CL, Riley R, Smith CT, Stewart L, Clarke M, et al. [Individual participant data \(IPD\) meta-analyses of randomised controlled trial: guidance on their use](#). *PLOS Medicine* 2015;**12**(7):e1001855.
- Debray TP, Riley R, Rovers MM, Reitsma JB, Moons KG; on behalf of the Cochrane IPD Meta-analysis Methods Group. Individual Participant Data (IPD) meta-analysis of diagnostic and prognostic modeling studies: guidance on their use. *PLOS Medicine* 2015;**12**(10):e1001886.
- Stewart LA, Clarke M, Rovers M, Riley R, Simmonds M, Stewart G, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Individual Participant Data: the PRISMA-IPD statement. *JAMA* 2015;**313**(16):1657-65.
- Wei Y, Royston P, Tierney JF, Parmar MK. Meta-analysis of time-to-event outcomes from randomized trials using restricted mean survival time: application to individual participant data. *Statistics in Medicine* 2015;**34**:2881-98.
- Lueza B, Rotolo F, Bonastre J, Pignon JP, Michiels S. Bias and precision of methods for estimating the difference in restricted mean survival time from an individual patient data meta-analysis. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2016;**16**:36.
- Lueza B, Maugen A, Pignon JP, Rivero-Arias O, Bonastre J; MAR-LC Collaborative Group. Difference in restricted mean survival time for cost-effectiveness analysis using individual patient data meta-analysis: evidence from a case study. *PLOS ONE* 2016;**11**(3):e0150032.
- Riley R, Price MJ, Jackson D, Wardle M, Gueyffier, Wang J, et al. Multivariate meta-analysis using individual participant data. *Research Synthesis Methods* 2015;**6**(2):157-74.
- Wynants L, Bouwmeester W, Moons KG, Moerbeek M, Timmerman D, Van Huffel S, et al. A simulation study of sample size demonstrated the importance of the number of events per variable to develop prediction models in clustered data. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2015 Dec;**68**(12):1406-14.
- Snell KIE, Hua H, Debray TP, Ensor J, Look MP, Moons KG, et al. Multivariate meta-analysis of individual participant data helped externally validate the performance and implementation of a prediction model. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2015;**69**:40-50.

	• Efthimiou O, Debray TP, van Vankenhoef G, Trelle S, Panayidou K, Moons KG, et al; on behalf of the GetReal Methods Review Group. GetReal in network meta-analysis: a review of the methodology. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2016;7:236-263. • Debray TPA, Moons KG, van Vankelhoef G, Efthimiou O, Hummel N, Groenwold RH, et al; on behalf of the GetReal Methods Review Group. Get real in individual participant data (IPD) meta-analysis: a review of the methodology. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2015;6: 239-309. • Veroniki AA, Straus SE, Soobiah C, Elliott MJ, Tricco AC. A scoping review of indirect comparison methods and applications using individual patient data. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 2016;16(1):47. • Veroniki AA, Straus SE, Ashoor H, Stewart LA, Clarke M, Tricco AC. Contacting authors to retrieve individual patient data: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>Trials</i> 2016;17(1):138. • Jolani S, Debray TP, Koffijberg H, van Buuren S, Moons KG. Imputation of systematically missing predictors in an individual participant data meta-analysis: a generalized approach using MICE. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> 2015;34(11):1841-63.
 Cochrane Methods Information Retrieval	• McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel D, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS: Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies 2015 Guideline Statement. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;75:40-6. • McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel D, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS – Peer Review Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Explanation and Elaboration (PRESS E&E). Ottawa: CADTH; 2016 Jan.
 Cochrane Methods NRS for Interventions	• Sterne JAC, Hernan MA, Reeves BC, Savovic J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al; on behalf of the development group for ACROBAT-NRSI. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomized studies of interventions. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;355:i4919 • Reeves BC, Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Wells GA. Chapter 13: Including non-randomized studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S, editor(s), <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i> Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. • Higgins JPT, Ramsay C, Reeves BC, Shea B, Valentine J, Tugwell P, et al. Issues relating to study design and risk of bias when including non-randomized studies in systematic reviews on the effects of interventions. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2013;4:12-25. • Reeves BC, Wells GA, Waddington H. Quasi-experimental study designs series – Paper 5: a checklist for classifying studies to evaluate the effects of health care or health system interventions based on design features: a taxonomy without labels. In Press, <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i>.

 Cochrane Methods Patient Reported Outcomes	- Alba AC, Alexander PE, Chang J, MacIassac J, DeFry S, Guyatt G. High statistical heterogeneity is more frequent in meta-analysis of continuous than binary outcomes. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;70:129-35. - Beaton DE, Terwee CB, Singh JA, Hawker GA, Patrick DL, Burke LB, et al. A call for evidence-based decision making when selecting outcome measurement instruments for summary of findings tables in systematic reviews: results from an OMERACT Working Group. <i>Journal of Rheumatology</i> 2015;42(10):1954-1961. - Busse JW, Bartlett SJ, Dougados M, Johnston BC, Guyatt GH, Kirwan JR, et al. Optimal strategies for reporting pain in clinical trials and systematic reviews: recommendations from an OMERACT 12 workshop. <i>Journal of Rheumatology</i> 2015;42(10):1962-70. - Johnston BC, Alonso-Coello P, Friedrich JO, Mustafa RA, Tikkinen KAO, Neumann I, et al. Do clinicians understand the size of treatment effects? A randomized survey across 8 countries. <i>Canadian Medical Association Journal</i> 2016;188(1):25-32. - Johnston BC, Ebrahim S, Carrasco-Labra A, Furukawa TA, Patrick DL, Crawford MW, et al. Minimally important difference estimates and methods: a protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2015;5(10):e007953. - Mulla SM, Maqbool A, Sivananthan L, Lopes LC, Schandelmaier S, Kamaleldin M, et al. Reporting of IMMPACT-recommended core outcome domains among trials assessing opioids for chronic non-cancer pain. <i>Pain</i> 2015;156(9):1615-9. - Schandelmaier S, Conen K, Von Elm E, You JJ, Blumle A, Tomonaga Y, et al. Planning and reporting of quality-of-life outcomes in cancer trials. <i>Annals of Oncology</i> 2015;26(9):1966-73. - Zhang Y, Zhang S, Thabane L, Furukawa TA, Johnston BC, Guyatt GH. Although not consistently superior, the absolute approach to framing the minimally important difference has advantages over the relative approach. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2015;68(8):888-94.
 Cochrane Methods Prognosis	- Groenwold RH, Moons KG, Pajouheshnia R, Altman DG, Collins GS, Debray TP, et al. Explicit inclusion of treatment in prognostic modelling was recommended in observational and randomised settings. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;78:90-100. - Kattan MW, Hess KR, Amin MB, Lu Y, Moons KG, Gershengwald JE, et al. American Joint Committee on cancer acceptance criteria for inclusion of risk models for individualized prognosis in the practice of

	precision medicine. <i>CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians</i> 2016 January 19 [Epub ahead of print]. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21339. • Kleinrouweler CE, Cheong-See FM, Collins GS, Kwee A, Thangaratinam S, Khan KS, et al. Prognostic models in obstetrics: available, but far from applicable. <i>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</i> 2016;214(1):79-90. • Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KGM. Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis Or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> 2015;162:55-63. • Moons KGM, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, Ioannidis JPA, Macaskill P, Steyerberg EW, et al. Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis Or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): Explanation and Elaboration. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> 2015;162:W1-W73. • Croft P, Altman DG, Deeks JJ, Dunn KM, Hay AD, Hemingway H, et al. The science of clinical practice: disease diagnosis or patient prognosis? Evidence about "what is likely to happen" should shape clinical practice. <i>BMC Medicine</i> 2015;13:20. • Iorio A, Spencer FA, Falavigna M, Alba C, Lang E, Burnand B, et al. Use of GRADE for assessment of evidence about prognosis: rating confidence in estimates of event rates in broad categories of patients. <i>BMJ</i> 2015;350:h870. • Debray TP, Riley RD, Rovers MM, Reitsma JB, Moons KG; Cochrane IPD Meta-analysis Methods Group. Individual participant data (IPD) meta-analyses of diagnostic and prognostic modeling studies: guidance on their use. <i>PLOS Medicine</i> 2015;12(10):e1001886. • Debray TP, Moons KG, van Valkenhoef G, Efthimiou O, Hummel N, Groenwold RH, et al. Get real in individual participant data (IPD) meta-analysis: a review of the methodology. <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2015;6(4):293-309. • Moons KG, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, Collins GS. new guideline for the reporting of studies developing, validating, or updating a multivariable clinical prediction model: the TRIPOD statement. <i>Advanced Anatomy and Pathology</i> 2015;22(5):303-5. • Snell KI, Hua H, Debray TP, Ensor J, Look MP, Moons KG, et al. Multivariate meta-analysis of individual participant data helped externally validate the performance and implementation of a prediction model. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;69:40-50.
--	---

	Wynants L, Bouwmeester W, Moons KG, Moerbeek M, Timmerman D, Van Huffel S, et al. A simulation study of sample size demonstrated the importance of the number of events per variable to develop prediction models in clustered data. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2015; 68(12):1406-14.
 Cochrane Methods Prospective Meta-analysis	- Askie LM, Offringa M. Systematic reviews and meta-analysis. <i>Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine</i> 2015; 20(6):403-9. - Tierney JF, Pignon J-P, Gueffroy F, Clarke M, Askie L, Vale CL, et al. How individual participant data meta-analyses have influenced trial design, conduct, and analysis. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2015; 68(11):1325-35. - Stewart LA, Clarke M, Rovers M, Riley RD, Simmonds M, Stewart G, et al for the PRISMA-IPD Development Group. Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Individual Participant Data: the PRISMA-IPD statement. <i>JAMA</i> 2015; 313(16):1657-65.
 Cochrane Methods Qualitative and Implementation	- Alderdice F, McNeill J, Lasserson T, Beller E, Carroll M, Hundley V, et al. Do Cochrane summaries help student midwives understand the findings of Cochrane systematic reviews: the BRIEF randomised trial. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2016; 5:40. - Benoot C, Hannes K, Bilsen J. The use of purposeful sampling in a qualitative evidence synthesis: a worked example on sexual adjustment to a cancer trajectory. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 2016; 16:21. - Booth A. Searching for qualitative research for inclusion in systematic reviews: a structured methodological review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2016; 5(1):74. - Booth A, Noyes J, Flemming K, Gerhardus A, Wahlster P, Van Der Wilt GJ, et al. Guidance on choosing qualitative evidence synthesis methods for use in health technology assessments of complex interventions. Available from: www.integrate-hta.eu/downloads/. - Carroll C, Booth A. Quality assessment of qualitative evidence for systematic review and synthesis: Is it meaningful, and if so, how should it be performed? <i>Research Synthesis Methods</i> 2015; 6(2):149-54. - Cargo M, Stankov I, Thomas J, Saini M, Rogers P, Mayo-Wilson E, et al. Development, inter-rater reliability and feasibility of a checklist to assess implementation (Ch-IMP) in systematic reviews: the case of provider-based prevention and treatment programs targeting children and youth. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 2015; 15(1):1. - France EF, Ring N, Noyes J, Maxwell M, Jepson R, Duncan E, et al. Protocol-developing meta-ethnography reporting guidelines (eMERGe). <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 2015; 15(1):103.

	• Frost J, Garside R, Cooper C, Britten N. Meta-study as diagnostic: toward content over form in qualitative synthesis. <i>Qualitative Health Research</i> 2016;26:307-19. • Kneale D, Thomas J, Harris K. Developing and optimising the use of logic models in systematic reviews: exploring practice and good practice in the use of programme theory in reviews. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 2015;10(11):e0142187. • Lewin S, Glenton C, Munthe-Kaas H, Carlsen B, Colvin CJ, Gülmezoglu M, et al. Using qualitative evidence in decision making for health and social interventions: an approach to assess confidence in findings from qualitative evidence syntheses (GRADE-CERQual). <i>PLOS Medicine</i> 2015;12(10):e1001895. • Noyes J, Hendry M, Booth A, Chandler J, Lewin S, Glenton C, et al. Current use and Cochrane guidance on selection of social theories for systematic reviews of complex interventions. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;75:78-92. • Noyes J, Hendry M, Lewin S, Glenton C, Chandler J, Rashidian A. Qualitative "trial-sibling" studies and "unrelated" qualitative studies contributed to complex intervention reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;74:133-43. • Sutcliffe K, Thomas J, Stokes G, Hinds K, Bangpan M. Intervention Component Analysis (ICA): a pragmatic approach for identifying the critical features of complex interventions. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;4:140.
 Cochrane Methods Rapid Reviews	• Hartling L, Guise JM, Hempel S, Featherstone R, Mitchell MD, Motu'apuaka ML, et al. EPC Methods: AHRQ End User Perspectives of Rapid Reviews. Research White Paper. (Prepared by the Scientific Resource Center under Contract No. 290-2012-00004-C.) AHRQ Publication No.16-EHC014-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; April 2016. • Hite J, Gluck ME. Rapid evidence reviews for health policy and practice. AcademyHealth. • Kelly SE, Moher D, Clifford TJ. Quality of conduct and reporting in rapid reviews: an exploration of compliance with PRISMA and AMSTAR guidelines. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2016;5:79. • Tricco AC, Zarin W, Antony J, Hutton B, Moher D, Sherifali D, et al. An international survey and modified Delphi approach revealed numerous rapid review methods. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> 2016;70:61-7. • Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH). Rapid review summit: then, now and in the future. 2015 February 3-4; Vancouver (BC). CADTH Summit Series.

- Featherstone RM, Dryden DM, Foisy M, Guise JM, Mitchell MD, Paynter RA, et al. Advancing knowledge of rapid reviews: an analysis of results, conclusions and recommendations from published review articles examining rapid reviews. *Systematic Reviews* 2015;**4**:50.
- Haby M, Chapman E, Reveiz L, Barreto J, Clark R. [Methodologies for rapid response for evidence informed decision making in health policy and practice: an overview of systematic reviews and primary studies](#) (Protocol). 2015.
- Hartling L, Guise JM, Kato E, Anderson J, Berliner E, Dryden DM, et al. Taxonomy of rapid reviews links report types and methods to specific decision-making contexts. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2015;**68**(12);1451-62.
- Hartling L, Guise JM, Kato E, Anderson J, Arsonson N, Belinson S, et al. [EPC Methods: An Exploration of Methods and Context for the Production of Rapid Reviews](#) [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2015 Feb.
- Hayden JA, Killian L, Zygmunt A, Babineau J, Martin-Misener R, Jensen JL, et al. Methods of a multi-faceted rapid knowledge synthesis project to inform the implementation of a new health service model: Collaborative Emergency Centres. *Systematic Reviews* 2015;**4**:7.
- Hersi M, Stevens A, Quach P, Hamel C, Thavorn K, Garritty C, et al. [Effectiveness of personal protective equipment for healthcare workers caring for patients with filovirus disease: a rapid review](#). *PLOS ONE* 2015 October 9.
- Jayakumar KL, Lavenberg JA, Mitchell MD, Doshi JA, Leas B, Goldmann DR, et al. Evidence synthesis activities of a hospital evidence-based practice center and impact on hospital decision making. *Journal of Hospital Medicine* 2016;**11**(3):185-92.
- Kelly SE. Deconstructing rapid reviews: an exploration of knowledge, traits and attitudes. [MSc thesis] Ottawa: School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, 2015.
- Moher D, Stewart L, Shekelle P. All in the family: systematic reviews, rapid reviews, scoping reviews, realist reviews, and more. *Systematic Reviews* 2015;**4**:183.
- Polisena J, Garritty C, Umscheid CA, Kamel C, Samra K, Smith J, et al. Rapid Review Summit: an overview and initiation of a research agenda. *Systematic Reviews* 2015;**4**:111.
- Polisena J, Garritty C, Kamel C, Stevens A, Abou-Setta AM. Rapid review programs to support health care and policy decision making: a descriptive analysis of processes and methods. *Systematic Reviews* 2015;**4**:26.

	• Schunemann HJ, Moja L. Reviews: rapid! rapid! rapid! ...and systematic. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;4:4. • Tricco AC, Antony J, Zarin W, Strifler L, Ghassemi M, Ivory J, et al. A scoping review of rapid review methods. <i>BMC Medicine</i> 2015;13:224. • Tsertsvadze A, Chen YF, Moher D, Sutcliffe P, McCarthy N. How to conduct systematic reviews more expeditiously? <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;4:160. • Varker T, Forbes D, Dell L, Weston A, Merlin T, Hodson S, et al. Rapid evidence assessment: increasing the transparency of an emerging methodology. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> 2015;21(6):1199-204. • Wilson MG, Lavis JN, Gauvin FP. Developing a rapid-response program for health system decision-makers in Canada: findings from an issue brief and stakeholder dialogue. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> 2015;4:25.
 Cochrane Methods Screening and Diagnostic Tests	• Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig L, et al; for the STARD Group. STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. ○ (a) Radiology 2015;277(3):826-32. DOI: 10.1148/ra-diolo.2015151516. ○ (b) BMJ 2015;351:h5527. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.h5527. ○ (c) <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> 2015;61(12):1446-52. • Takwoingi Y, Guo B, Riley R, Deeks J. Performance of methods for meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy with few studies or sparse data. <i>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</i> 2015 ;26(4) :1896-1911. • Menten J, Lesaffre E. A general framework for comparative Bayesian meta-analysis of diagnostic studies. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 2015;15:70.